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## INTRODUCTION

- Previous research has found associations between low-income and gambling disorder (GD)., noting differences in motivations for gambling and type of gambling between low-income and middle/higher income gamblers. ${ }^{1,2,3,4,5}$


## OBJECTIVES

- To examine the relationship between income and gambling behavior in an adult sample.
- To assess differences in type of gambling activity by income level.


## METHODS

- Participants ( $n=893$ ) were recruited as part of the PEGASUS (Prevalence and Etiology of Gambling and Substance Use in the US) study, an on going longitudinal cohort study of gambling behavior in Maryland residents.
- Participants completed a battery of selfadministered questionnaires that included demographics, health behaviors, and gambling activity.
- Gambling behavior was assessed with two instruments:
- South-Oaks Gambling Screen (SOGS)
- Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule (AUDADIS-IV).
- Type of gambling included:
- Non-strategic (e.g. lottery, bingo, or keno)
- Strategic (e.g. card games, sports betting, or stocks)
- Machine gambling (e.g. internet or slots)
- Casino gambling (e.g. visiting a casino).

Gambling disorder (lifetime) indicated by 4 or more DSM symptoms reported via the AUDADIS

## RESULTS

| Table 1: Demographics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Range | M | SD | Table 2: Adjusted Association Between Low Income, Disordered Gambling, and Gambling Preference |  |  |  |
| Age (years) | 18-73 | 43.0 | 13.9 |  |  |  |  |
|  | n | \% |  |  | Odds | 95\% CI | $p$ value |
| Gender | 889 |  |  | Disordered Gambling | Ratio |  |  |
| Male | 414 | 46.6 |  |  | 1.14 | [0.78 to 1.66] | 0.500 |
| Female | 475 | 53.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Race | 887 |  |  | Type of Gambling |  |  |  |
| White | 352 | 39.7 |  | Casino ( $\mathrm{n}=707$ ) | 1.34 | [0.86 to 2.07] | 0.194 |
| African-American | 446 | 50.3 |  | Strategic ( $\mathrm{n}=631$ ) | 1.44 | [0.90 to 2.31] | 0.126 |
| Other or Mixed Race | 89 | 10.0 |  | Non-strategic ( $\mathrm{n}=715$ ) | 1.21 | [0.79 to 1.84] | 0.383 |
| Household Income (per year) | 878 |  |  | Machine ( $\mathrm{n}=643$ ) | 1.34 | [0.84 to 2.13\} | 0.214 |
| Less than \$15,000 | 270 | 30.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$15,000 to less than \$25,000 | 128 | 14.6 |  | *Separate models controlling for age, gender, race, education, and employment were conducted for each association presented above |  |  |  |
| \$25,000 to less than \$75,000 | 361 | 41.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| more than \$75,000 | 119 | 13.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disordered Gambling Status | 893 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Disordered Gambler | 389 | 43.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disordered Gambler | 504 | 56.4 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Adjusted Odds Ratios of Income Predicting Disordered Gambling and Gambling Preference
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## RESULTS

## Bivariate Analyses

- Low income was significantly associated with
- Gambling disorder
- Race (African American)

Employment (unemployed)

- Education (high school or less)
- Gambling disorder was significantly associated with:
- Age
- Race (African American)
- Education (high school or less)
- Income (less than $\$ 25 \mathrm{~K}$ per year)
- Employment (unemployed)
- Type of gambling (non-strategic, strategic, machine and casino gambling)
Multivariate regression analysis
Low income participants were 1.14 times more likely to be disordered gamblers, after controlling for age, education, employment, race and sex.
Strategic gambling and visiting a casino were also significant indicators of disordered gambling.


## CONCLUSIONS

- After controlling for several factors, including type of gambling, low income was a significant correlate of disordered gambling
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