

Evaluating Racial Differences Between Income and Problem Gambling Behavior B. Day, MD¹, G. Rosenthal, MD, PhD^{1,2,3}, F. Adetunji, BS¹, A. Monaghan, BS¹, C. Scheele, MA¹, J.K. Tracy, PhD^{1,3,4}

¹Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, ²Department of Pediatrics, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, ³Department of Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, ⁴Maryland Center of Excellence on Problem Gambling

INTRODUCTION

- Pathological gambling is more prevalent among African Americans than whites^{1,2}
- Few studies explore underlying reasons for this difference³
- Low income is associated with increased prevalence of pathological gambling⁴

OBJECTIVES

- Evaluate the association between income level and pathological gambling
- Evaluate for effect modification by race in the association between income level and pathological gambling

METHODS

- Design: Baseline data from the Prevention and Etiology of Gambling Addiction Study in the US (PEGASUS), a 5-year longitudinal cohort study among Maryland residents were used for crosssectional analysis
- Data collection: Battery of self-administered questionnaires that included demographics, health behaviors, and gambling activity
- Participants: 1346 participants recruited in the parent study via posters and online advertisements
 - This analysis included 910 participants
 - Reasons for exclusion:
 - 182 participants in "other" race categories 254 participants with missing data for variables of interest
- Main independent variable: income level
- Dependent variable: lifetime pathological gambling (using the Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule [AUDASIS])
- Statistical analysis: multivariable logistic regression with test for interaction between race and income

RESULTS

Table 1. Participant Characteristics								Table 2. Unadjusted Association Between Income and Pathological				•
	Total		White A		itrican nerican		Gambling Dethological Combling					
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	p-		No	<u>Pathological Gam</u> %	DIIN <u>8</u> OR (95% CI)	
							value	Low income	102	/0 /2 Q 2	O((35% C))	
Observations	1164	100	532	45.7	629	54	< 0001	Low-middle income	130	43.0 5	.96 (2.87, 3.94) .45 (1.65, 3.65)	
Income, Annual Household							<.0001		104	10.2 2	(1.05, 5.05)	
Low income	362	31.1	111	20.9	250	39.8			104	23 1	.80 (1.31, 2.05)	
<\$15,000								Hign Income	//	17	Referent	
Low-middle income	170	14.6	61	11.5	109	17.3		Table 3 Unadjusted /	Association Retween	Income and Path	ological	
\$15,000 to <\$25,000 Middle income	288	24 7	136	25.6	152	24.2		Gambling, Stratified by Race (Two Reference Groups)				
\$25,000 to <\$50,000	200	27.7	150	23.0	192	2112			African Amorican	White		
High income	328	28.2	221	41.5	106	16.9						
>\$50,000	160			24.4					OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	p-value	
Age years (median IOP)	463 <u>4</u> 5 1	39.8 30 6	20 1	21.1 27 /	350 28 6	55.6 3 <u>4</u> 8	<.0001 < 0001	Low income	3.58 (2.22, 5.76)	1.91 (1.12, 3.2	8)	
	-+J.1	55.2	JJ.1	53.7	-0.0	55.9		Low-middle income	1.82 (1.06, 3.15)	1.95 (1.02, 3.7	4) 0.08	
Sex							<.0001	Middle income	2.07 (1.24, 3.45)	0.96 (0.54, 1.6	9) 0.08	
Male	541	46.5	291	54.7	250	39.8		High income	Referent	Referent		•
Female Education level	618	53.1	240	45.1	376	59.8	< 0001					
Less than high school	89	7.7	21	4	68	10.8	~.0001	Table 4. Unadjusted As	ssociation Between Ir	ncome and Patho	logical	
High school	267	22.9	68	12.8	199	31.6		Gambling, Stratified by	y Race (One Referenc	ce Group)		
Beyond high school	645	55.4	329	61.8	315	50.1			<u>African American</u>	<u>White</u>		•
Employment status	C1C	F2 0	211	F0 F	204	40.2	<.0001		OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	p-value	
Employed	616 200	52.9 25 7	311 88	58.5 16 5	304 210	48.3 33.4		Low income	9.33 (6.04, 14.42)	1.91 (1.12, 3.2)	8)	
Non-working	238	20.5	130	24.4	108	17.2		Low-middle income	A 75 (2 85 7 92)	1 95 (1 02 3 7	-) ()	
Veteran	86	7.4	27	5.1	59	9.4	0.0023		4.75 (2.85, 7.92)	1.95 (1.02, 5.7	+) 0.08	
Marital status							<.0001	Iviladie income	5.4 (3.37, 8.65)	0.96 (0.54, 1.6)	9)	F
Single	636 220	54.6	294 120	55.3	341	54.2		High income	2.61 (1.54, 4.42)	Referent		-
Divorced, separated, or	228	25.4	120	24.1	185	29.4						1.
widowed								Table 5. Adjusted Association Between Income and Pathological Gambling,				
Current smoker	402	34.5	119	22.4	282	44.8	<.0001	Stratified by Race (Two	b Reference Groups)			
Alcohol abuse	192	16.5	112 19	21.1 0	80	12.7	0.0004		<u>Atrican American</u>	<u>White</u>		
	130	12.9	40	ש	TOT	10.1	0.0001		OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	p-value	Z.
Percentage	of Pa	rticipa	nts w	ith Pat	holog	ical		Low income	1.67 (0.88, 3.18)	1.11 (0.54, 2.2	8)	
ARR Gam	bling	by Inc	ome a	and Ra	ce			Low-middle income	0.96 (0.48. 1.88)	1.2 (0.55. 2.59))	
50 40.0	-0	,				African A	merican	Middle income	1 46 (0 78 2 7)	0 64 (0 32 1 2	6) 0.15	3.
						White					~;	
40 —							34.8	High income	Keterent	Keterent		
								Table C Adiusted Assa	aistian Daturaan lass	mo and Dathala	ical Campling	Δ
3028.6								Idule D. Adjusted Asso Stratified by Pace (On	Reference Group)	nne and Patholog	ical Gampling,	
				23.8	20.5			Stratilied by hate (Oli		\./I ··		
20	16.2	16.1							African American	White		
						11.2			OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	p-value	
10 —								Low income	3.61 (1.97, 6.63)	1.11 (0.54, 2.2	28)	
								Low-middle income	2.06 (1.08, 3.94)	1.2 (0.55, 2.5	9) 0.15	
0								Middle income	3.14 (1.76, 5.61)	0.64 (0.32, 1.2	26)	Fi
Low Income	Low-mic	ldle Incor	ne Higl	h-middle I	Income	High In	come	High income	2.16 (1.12, 4.15)	Referent		N



RESULTS

- te analysis:

 - use, homelessness

CLUSIONS

- ling (p=0.15).

RENCES

DING



adjusted analyses, race did not modify the sociation between income and gambling

controlling for confounders, there was no nce of effect modification by race in the iation between income and pathological

explorations will be performed to further assess ssociation between income, race and gambling

A. A., Petry, N. M., Hasin, D. S., Liu, S.-M., Grant, B. F., & Blanco, isordered gambling among racial and ethnic groups in the US: rom the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related ns. CNS Spectrums, 14(3), 132–142.

Barnes, G., Wieczorek, W., Tidwell, M.-C., & Parker, J. (2001). nd Gambling Pathology among US Adults: Prevalence, phic Patterns and Comorbidity. Journal of Studies on Alcohol, 62,

G. M., Welte, J. W., & Tidwell, M. C. O. (2017). Gambling nent among Native Americans, Blacks, and Whites in the United merican Journal on Addictions, 26(7), 713–721. doi.org/10.1111/ajad.12601

J., Norris, D. F., Park, D., Volberg, R., Haynes, D., & Stokan, E. ambling Prevalence in Maryland : A Baseline Analysis. Baltimore, rieved from https://bha.health.maryland.gov/POPULATION_BASED

/Documents/SpecProjsVetsGambling/gambling201_0610.pdf